Department of Transportation Building, 2800 Berlin Turnpike, Newington, Connecticut.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on January 29, 1996.

Vincent A. Scarano,

Manager, Airports Division, New England Region.

[FR Doc. 96–2507 Filed 2–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Notice of Intent to Rule on Application To Impose a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Sacramento Metropolitan Airport (SMF), Sacramento, California and Use the Revenue at SMF and Mather Airports

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose a PFC at Sacramento Metropolitan Airport (SMF) and use the revenue at SMF and Mather Airports under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261, or San Francisco Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010-1303. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Thomas P. Engel, Director, Department of Airports, County of Sacramento, at the following address: 6900 Airport Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95837. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the County of Sacramento under § 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joseph R. Rodriguez, Supervisor, Planning and Programming Section, Airports District Office, 831 Mitten Road, Room 210, Burlingame, CA 94010–1303, Telephone: (415) 876–2805. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public

comment on the application to impose a PFC at Sacramento Metropolitan Airport (SMF) and use the revenue at SMF and Mather Airports under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On January 25, 1996, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the County of Sacramento was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than April 24, 1996.

The following is a brief overview of the use application number AWP-96-05-C-00-SMF.

Level of proposed PFC: \$3.00. Charge effective date: July 1, 1996. Estimated charge expiration date: June 30, 2026.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$82,867,000.

Brief description of the impose and use projects: Air Quality Mitigation Compressed Natural Gas System, Airfield Support Shops and Facilities, Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency Flood Control Project, Airfield Drainage Control Equipment—Backhoe, Terminal Roadway Island Widening, GA Apron Airside Access Road, FOD Removal Equipment—Airfield Sweeper at Sacramento Mather Airport, Earhart Drive Reconstruction, Terminals 1 & 2 Rehabilitation, Phase 3, Security System Upgrade, Airfield, Terminal, Tower Communications System—Telephone Switch, Concourse Throat Expansion, West Terminals Jet Loaders, Phase 2, ARFF Vehicles Replacement, Surface Movement Guidance Control System, Aircraft Noise Monitoring System, Metro Airport Master Plan Update and EIR, Metro Airport Part 150 Study, Terminals 1, 2, & 3, CPS and Administration Buildings Electrical System Reconstruction/Upgrade Phase 2, Reconstruct Electrical Vault and Construct East Vault, and Airport System Revenue Bond Issuance Costs, Debt Service Reserve Funding, Bond Coverage and Interest Expense for Projects in Passenger Facility Charge Application Number 5.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Division located at: Federal Aviation Administration,

Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the County of Sacramento.

Issued in Hawthorne, California, on January 25, 1996.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 96–2512 Filed 2–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Federal Railroad Administration [FRA Docket No. HS-96-1]

Petitions for Waivers of Compliance

Notice is hereby given that the Southern Pacific Transportation Company (SPT), the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers (BLE) and the United Transportation Union (UTU) have jointly petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) for a waiver of compliance with a provision of the Federal hours of service laws (49 U.S.C. 21103(b)(4)) affecting train employees.

The Federal hours of service laws currently make it unlawful for a railroad carrier to require specified train employees to remain on duty in excess of 12 hours, except as provided in 49 U.S.C. 21102(a) and 21103(c). In addition, the Federal hours of service laws define time on duty for train employees to include time spent in deadhead transportation to duty assignment, but define time spent in deadhead transportation from a duty assignment to the place of final release as neither time on duty nor off duty.

Section 21108(a) of title 49 of the United States Code provides that a railroad carrier and all labor organizations representing any class or craft of directly affected covered service employees of the railroad carrier, may jointly petition for approval of a waiver, in whole or in part, of compliance with the Federal hours of service laws to enable the establishment of one of more pilot projects to demonstrate the possible benefits of implementing alternatives to the strict application of the requirements of the hours of service laws to such class or craft of employees.

The petitioners seek a waiver pursuant to this section, in order to establish a pilot project in which certain SPT train employees may be permitted to treat travel time to a duty assignment as commuting time that would otherwise be counted as on-duty time under FRA's application of the